

It always comes differently when you think. That's why there are books from the Rubicon now.

We do journalism!

On the occasion of the Corona crisis,

Rubikon editor Jens Wernicke comments on the situation in the quarantined country. by Jens Wernicke Photo: wk1003mike/Shutterstock.com

Corona skeptics? Corona deniers? Irresponsible trivialisation of a fire-hazardous crisis? None of this. We at rubicon just do our job. Namely, journalism, which does not simply swallow everything that politicians and other media put forward, which shows different perspectives and thus represents a kind of supervisory authority for the powerful. If we stand almost alone in the corridor, simply because we do not allow ourselves to be deprived of our freedom and our rights and do not go down in the glue of a badly founded, built-up viral hysteria, then that says a lot about the current state of our media landscape. The subsequent appeal by Rubikon editor Jens Wernicke cites widely denied facts on the subject of Corona and is a letter of fire calling for the defence of fundamental and civil rights as well as freedom of the press even in times of supposed emergency.

Dear readers, the escalating situation in the country makes it clear how thin the ceiling of civilization really is:

Inflated, many like to project their own darkness and usually even co-responsibility for the social situation to the AfD. But all it takes is a single ultima-ratio argument called "killer virus" – and they are all demanding the emergency dictatorship, gone by the bank and far to the left, and want the strong leader back.

But why? Is it dying differently, perhaps better in a dictatorship? Is isolated at home, without social and cultural life, without encouragement and solidarity? We have spent the last few days and weeks examining the arguments and facts on the so-called Corona pandemic.

And Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, who is now slandered and discredited nationwide like a pariah, is right:

There is not a single evidence in the world that there is currently any "special danger" to all of us. What there are, however, are media that spread narratives that are completely hysterical and set in blind obedience, and organize self-fulfilling prophecies. Above all, by ignoring contexts and interests, ignoring complexity, omitting any real research, and finally identifying the deceased who have tested positive for Corona as "corona dead" – who they are not. But even at the moment there should be an excessive danger, which we are convinced is not the case, if there were no medical legitimacy for the now looming "unlawful state". The measures taken and foreseen are simply meaningless and intellect, do not help anyone and even escalate the health emergency:

"We have to build up immunity somehow. How can this be possible without contacts?"

Doctors and politicians alike know very well that contagion cannot be prevented and that we will all end up having corona. But why do they call for a new fascism when it is supposedly "only" about slowing down the inevitable? This approach does not change the danger to the life of a multimorbid

80-year-old. On the other hand, if thousands of people did not storm the hospitals because of a cold or cough, they would help, thereby driving the "health system" saved to death into collapse.

No, dear readers, neither asociality nor dictatorship will solve our social, political and health problems — as even those who are now pushing this know.

For them, however, it is already considered that the seriously ill elderly can no longer be saved. If this were not the case, they would only focus their actions on the really vulnerable people. If they were to fight against fear and panic, they would educate about the fact that a corona infection alone means nothing and usually passes without symptoms. And they would call for hospital is only to be hospitalized in the event of acute health emergencies — and do everything possible to protect public life, democracy, and our constitution.

But, dear readers, contrary to what we might wish for, the powerful do not love us — and will not save us. As the history of mankind teaches, we must do this on our own. Above all, by having the courage to use our own minds, no longer serving ourselves in front of the carts of powerful interests that pretend to be "love" and no longer letting us be declared stupid or immature by anyone. These days, I agree with what the researchers at Swiss Propaganda Research recommend — and I would encourage you to do the same: "Even in the current situation, the old and proven propaganda rule applies: the less known, the more speculation is. For attentive readers, however, this offers an opportunity to assess the seriousness and orientation of the various media and authors. One observes, for example:

- Which media count only test-positive case and death figures, without asking what these people have fallen ill or died from?
- Which media make headlines like "21-year-old football coach dies of coronavirus," and only mention in the last sentence that he had unrecognized leukemia?
- Which media are addressing the issue of so-called over-mortality, which is still in the normal range or below in all countries and age groups?
- What media ask how many intensive care patients with unexpected pneumonia are added, and what is their age and health profile?
- Which media prefer fear-inflating images of viruses, protective suits and coffins, rather than concrete data, facts and backgrounds?
- What media discuss the known problems with virus testing in general and the lack of clinical validation of the currently used test in particular?
- What media are illuminating the problematic role played by the WHO in previous cases?
- Which media are trying to bring in a political or geopolitical spin?
- Which media still speak of "bioweapons", even if this scenario can be ruled out long ago in the face of hardly spectacular death rates and death profiles?

The bioweapons hypothesis, which has been launched at every opportunity for almost forty years, primarily has a geopolitical and psychological function. (See also: History of Biological Warfare.) Medical and military experts surveyed by SPR recommend that three possible scenarios be kept in mind when analysing current developments ("the three P"):

1. A pandemic caused by a dangerous virus
2. A media-induced mass psychosis
3. A potential psychological operation

An example of recent psychological operations is the multiple staged chemical weapons attacks in the Syrian war, which have been uncovered since 2019 by whistleblowers from the OPCW and other experts, but have been largely ignored by classical media." And if, dear readers, after reading these journalistic quality criteria, you now find that Rubikon is one of very few voices of reason in a sea of irrationality, and you would like to reward the fact that from day 1 of this crisis we do not have a fake News spread, but have written clearly and incorruptibly against the emerging new totalitarianism, then please support us and our work with a donation, so that our voice remains unmistakable in the future.

With thanks and warm greetings Your

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jens Wernicke". The script is cursive and fluid, with the first letter 'J' being particularly large and stylized.

... which, moreover, even if one day there is a murderous killer virus in Germany, will continue to write for fundamental and civil rights, against emergency laws and Bundeswehr operations also inside.

Content of this special edition (constantly updated):

- Jens Wernicke: We do journalism! (March 21, 2020)
- Roland Rottenfuß: The Health Dictatorship (12 February 2020)
- Anselm Lenz: The State of Emergency Regime (21 March 2020)
- Hermann Ploppa: Under the Wrong Flag (22 March 2020)
- Christoph Pfluger: The calm before the perfect storm (22 March 2020)

- Ralf Wurzbacher: In appeal Rufmord (23 March 2020)
- Roland Rottenfuß: The Corona Rebel (24 March 2020)
- Flo Osrainik: The Corona Dossier (24 March 2020)
- Tilo Gräser: The Billionaire and the Virus (March 23, 2020)
- Jens Wernicke: Profiteers of Fear (24 March 2020)
- Andreas Peglau: The Psychology of Crisis (23 March 2020)
- Whitney Webb: The Corona Conspiracy (March 24, 2020)
- Karsten Montag: News from the institution (24 March 2020) Franz Ruppert: The Devil and the Belzebub (23 March 2020)
- Jens Bernert: Illegal ban on contact (23 March 2020)
- Sven Böttcher: The Pseudo-Crisis (19 March 2020)
- Hans-Peter Zepf: False statistics (22 March 2020)
- Alexander Kuhn: The "Killer Virus" in numbers (23 March 2020)
- Tilo Gräser: The Voice of Reason (20 March 2020)
- Hannes Hofbauer: The Epidemic of Repression (21 March 2020)
- Rainer Johannes Klement: The Mega-Denunciation (21 March 2020)
- Giorgio Agamben: The Invention of an Epidemic (21 March 2020)
- Tilo Gräser: Massive Doubt (23 March 2020)
- Michel Chossudovsky: Swine Flu 2.0 (21 March 2020)
- Nicolas Riedl: Corona and George Orwell (19 March 2020)
- Georg Lind: The World in Fear (March 18, 2020)
- Norbert Häring: Broken Italy (21 March 2020)
- Rainer Johannes Klement: The Fear Campaign (March 18, 2020)
- Daniel Sandmann: The New Totalitarianism (March 18, 2020)
- Bernhard Trautvetter: The Distraction Maneuver (20 March 2020)
- Helmut Jäger: Are we at war against a virus? (March 22, 2020)
- Georg Lind: From because of killer virus (18 March 2020)
- Frederik Kunert: The Virus Economy (March 20, 2020)
- Elmar Klink: The Corona War (March 19, 2020)
- Alexander Neu: The Corona Peace (21 March 2020)
- Bertram Burian: The Chancellor (19 March 2020)
- Michael Meyen: The Media Epidemic (March 18, 2020)
- Peter Frey: Under the Spell of the Virus (21 March 2020)
- Hermann Ploppa: Wanted Hysteria (7 March 2020)
- Peter Frey: Dangerous Actionism (20 March 2020)
- Peter Nowak: The Fear Reaction (March 20, 2020)
- Birgit Assel: The Pandemic as Mass Psychosis (20 March 2020)
- Michael Meyen: The Expert Ocracy (18 March 2020)
- Nicolas Riedl: The Virus Hysteria (March 14, 2020)
- Elisa Gratias: The Fear Virus (March 14, 2020)

- Amirreza Sherkat: Wanted Humanitarian Catastrophe (17 March 2020)
- Ernst Wolff: The Great Raid (March 18, 2020)
- Steffen Pichler: Pest and Corona (25 February 2020)
- Helmut Weiss: Enemy propaganda instead of compassion (5 March 2020)
- Ulrich Gellermann: Merkel wants to close Germany (14 March 2020)
- Wolfgang Wodarg: The Panicmakers (14 March 2020)
- Hannes Hofbauer: The Virus Repression (14 March 2020)
- Jens Bernert: From Due to Pandemic (14 March 2020)
- Hannes Sies: The Viral Capitalism (March 6, 2020)
- Larry Romanoff: Ignorant Empire (March 14, 2020)
- Matteo Palo: Epidemic of Violence (March 10, 2020)
- Nafeez Ahmed: The Corona Pandemic (March 14, 2020)
- Klaus-Jürgen Bruder: The Hour of The Opportunists (20 March 2020)
- Hans Springstein: Questions about Corona Hysteria (22 March 2020)
- Bertrand Stern: At the Crossroads (24 March 2020)
- Sven Böttcher: Where can we go? (March 23, 2020)
- Kerstin Chavent: Love in The Times of Corona (March 22, 2020)
- Otto Teischel: "I suffer, so we are" (22 March 2020)
- Georg Lind (satire): Merkel's clarification (19 March 2020)
- Ullrich Mies (Satire): Chance for Youth (14 March 2020)

In this stirring interview, Professor Sucharit Bhakdi, one of the world's most cited experts in the field of medical microbiology, explains that it is "false" and "dangerously misleading" to create the new coronavirus for current deaths. as pre-existing conditions and air pollution played a more important role in Chinese and northern Italian cities. Professor Bhakdi strongly condemns the measures currently under discussion or adopted as "grotesque," "senseless," "self-destructive" and a "collective suicide" that will shorten the life expectancy of seniors and not be accepted; Covid-19 he calls a "spook":



Source: <https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/wir-machen-journalismus>
